

## Program Evaluation Summary of Responses

March 31, 2012 Session XII 1205: LOOK AGAIN!: Reflective Practice in a Hurting World Bertman, Sandra, PhD				
		Total Scores	No. of Responses	Avg. Of Responses
<b>I. Content/Relevancy/Teaching Methods</b>				
a)	Content was appropriate for intended audience	89	18	4.94
b)	Content was consistent with stated learning objectives	89	18	4.94
c)	Content included recent studies/findings/literature on topic	86	18	4.78
d)	Teaching methods were appropriate for subject matter	89	18	4.94
e)	This program is appropriate to my education, experience, and skills level	89	18	4.94
f)	Visual aids, handouts, and oral presentations clarified content	89	18	4.94
g)	Information could be applied to practice and enhance professional expertise	89	18	4.94
h)	Information could contribute to achieving personal and /professional goals	89	18	4.94
i)	I did not perceive any commercial bias	89	18	4.94
j)	I would recommend this program to others	89	18	4.94
<b>II. LEARNING/EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES</b>				
1	Identify the three key questions of the Visual Thinking Strategy Technique	89	18	4.94
2	Experience the way close reading of an image enhances therapeutic competency.	89	18	4.94
3	Formulate client specific guided imagery scenarios through the synthesis of client information and research.	89	18	4.94
<b>III. FACULTY</b>				
a)	Presenters(s) were knowledgeable in content area	89	18	4.94
b)	Presenter(s) content was consistent with stated learning objectives	89	18	4.94
c)	Presenter(s) clarified content in response to questions	84	17	4.94
d)	Presenter(s) reviewed limitations to material presented	82	17	4.82
<b>IV OVERALL RATING</b>				
a)	Program met or exceeded my expectations	89	18	4.94
b)	I learned a great deal as a result of this continuing education activity	89	18	4.94
<b>5: Very High ; 4: High ; 3: Neutral; 2: Low; 1: Poor</b>				